
# A Management Plan For Agricultural Subsurface Drainage and Related Problems on the Westside San Joaquin Valley

September 1990



# A Management Plan for Agricultural Subsurface Drainage and Related Problems on the Westside San Joaquin Valley

Final Report
of the
San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program

September 1990

### **PREFACE**

A comprehensive study of agricultural drainage and drainage-related problems on the westside San Joaquin Valley has resulted in the management plan presented in this final report of the Federal-State interagency San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program.

Understandably, some may be disappointed that no single, sure, and lasting solution to the drainage problem has been put forward. Rather, the management plan presented is complex and includes risks that could be costly. Moreover, it may be only the first step in solving the salt accumulation problem. Virtually everyone involved in examination of the drainage problem agrees, however, that there is no single solution and no easy answer to the problem.

But it is also generally agreed that the drainage problem is manageable and that this management logically begins in the valley with a broadly shared effort to reduce the amount of drainage water, to place the remaining water under control, and to contain and isolate toxicants such as selenium. Such actions would largely correct present problems of waterlogging of farmlands and could greatly reduce adverse impacts on fish and wildlife.

The in-valley actions recommended in the plan would also be necessary for any eventual export of salt from the San Joaquin Valley. The recommended actions would provide a regional drainage infrastructure that now exists only in scattered pieces. If the plan proposed here is implemented, a salt export decision need not be made for several decades.

A review of the history of the drainage problem suggests that some of the reasons the problem has grown to nearly 500,000 acres and is adversely affecting the environment include: (1) Continued hopes for a master drain, (2) expectations of a technological breakthrough in drainage water treatment, (3) the need for more information, and (4) a lack of cooperation among parties affected. Viewed as an accumulation of years of piecemeal efforts and neglect, the problem appears overwhelming. It is not. Systematic, shared work begun now can manage the problem and contribute to its eventual solution.

Ed Imhoff Edgar A Imhoff Program Man

Edgar A. Imhoff, Program Manager San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program

# CONTENTS

Preface	iii
San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program Committee and Team Membership	xi
Chapter 1. SUMMARY OF THE PLAN AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION	1
Summary of the Plan	1
Conclusions and Recommendations for Action	0
Implementation	0
Planning	10
Monitoring	10
Additional Study	11
Drainage Management	11
Geohydrology	11
Economics	
Fish and Wildlife	
Public Health	
Funding Proposed Actions	13
Chapter 2. THE PROBLEM	15
A Brief History	15
The Area of Concern	18
Interests Affected by Drainage Problems	
Agriculture	20
Fish and Wildlife	21
Water Quality	21
Public Health	
Chapter 3. WHAT THE STUDY HAS REVEALED OR CONFIRMED	25
Geohydrology	23 25
Geology	23
Surface Water	
Ground Water	29
Drainage-Water Constituents	30
Salinity	30
Trace Elements	35
Drainage-Water Treatment and Reuse	42
Treatment Processes	42
Athis Deptarial Department	47

## Chapter 3. WHAT THE STUDY HAS REVEALED OR CONFIRMED (continued)

Facultative-Bacterial Process	4
Microalgal-Bacterial Process	4
Microbial Volatilization of Selenium in Evaporation Pond Water	4
Microbial Volatilization of Selenium from Soils and Sediments	4
Geochemical Immobilization	4
Iron Filings	4'
Ferrous Hydroxide	4′
Ion Exchange	4:
Reverse Osmosis to Remove Salts and Contaminants	4:
Cogeneration	4
Future of Treatment Processes	4
Reuse	40
Agricultural Economy	50
The Contribution of Agriculture	50
Exports	5
Land Use	5.
Production Expenses	
Farm Structure	5
Federal Agricultural Programs	
Fish and Wildlife Resources	50
Habitat Losses and Population Declines	50
Water Supplies and Needs	5
Toxicity of Drainage-Water Contaminants	55
Contamination and Biological Effects	50
Agroforestry Plantations	60
Public Health	60
Safety of Food Crops	60
Safety of Consuming Fish and Game	61
Safety of Foraging	62
Occupational Exposures to Drainage Contaminants	67
Safety of Drinking Water	67
Social Conditions	63
Community Infrastructure	63
Farm Labor	62
Water Supply and Drainage Management Organizations	64
Water Management Networks	04 64
Regional Institutional Spheres	04
The Existing Institutional Structure	03

Chapter 4. THE PLANNING FRAMEWORK	69
Public Policy	69
Drainage Service	69
Environmental Protection	. 70
Drainage Studies and Monitoring	. 70
Constraints	. 71
Local Drainage Management Initiatives	. 71
Planning Objectives	. 72
Program Planning Methods	. 72
Estimating the Volume of Water Causing Drainage Problems	. 75
Chapter 5. IN-VALLEY MANAGEMENT OPTIONS AND PLANNING ALTERNATIVES	79
The Future-Without Alternative	. 79
The Overall Theme	. 79
Assumptions About the Future	
The Shape of the Future Under the Future-Without Alternative	. 81
Land-Use Change	. 81
Hydrologic Effects	. 82
Economic Effects	. 83
Effects on Fish and Wildlife Resources	. 85
Public Health Effects	
Social Effects	
Options for Drainage-Water Management	. 87
Drainage-Water Source Control	. 87
Ground-Water Management	
Drainage-Water Treatment	. 88
Drainage-Water Reuse	. 90
Drainage-Water Disposal	
Fish and Wildlife Measures	. 90
Institutional Changes	. 91
Evaluation of Options	. 92
Planning Alternatives	
Drainage Management Strategies Underlying the Alternatives	
Source Control	
Drainage-Water Reuse	
Ground-Water Management	
Land Retirement	
Description of Alternatives	
Northern Subarea	
Grasslands Subarea	
Westlands Subarea	
Tulare Subarea	
Kern Subarea	
Summary and Conclusions from Analyses of Subarea Planning Alternatives	. 118

Ch	apter 6. THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	121
Pla	n Formulation Procedure	121
	Land Retirement Decisions	121
	Source Control Decisions	121
	Decisions on Discharge to the San Joaquin River	126
	Reuse Decisions	126
	Evaporation Pond Decisions	127
	Treatment for Selenium Removal	
	Ground-Water Pumping Decisions	
	Rationale on Salt Balance	. 127
Plan	1 Features Common to All Subareas	. 129
	Drainage-Water Source Control	
	Reduction of Drainage-Water Volume by Reuse	
	Disposal of Concentrated Drainage Water	
	Institutional Components	. 132
	Tiered Water Pricing	
	Improved Scheduling of Water Deliveries	
	Water Transfers and Marketing	
	Regional Drainage Management Organizations	
	Monitoring of the Drainage-Water Environment	
Des	cription and Evaluation of the Recommended Plan	
	Northern Subarea	. 134
	Grasslands Subarea	. 136
	Assessment of Plan Features and Their Effects	. 141
	Westlands Subarea	
	Assessment of Plan Features and Their Effects	. 147
	Tulare Subarea	
	Assessment of Plan Features and Their Effects	
	Kern Subarea	. 153
	Assessment of Plan Features and Their Effects	. 156
	Evaluation of Plan and Comparison to Future-Without	. 158
Ref	erences Cited	160
Sel	ected Bibliography	103
Abb	previations	177
	ssary	
<b></b>		179
•	TABLES	
1	Summary of Recommended Drainage Management Plan	2
2	Problem Water Reduction, 2040	
3	Annualized Costs of the Recommended Plan	
4	Substances of Concern	
5	Status of Drainage-Water Treatment Processes to Remove	40
-	or Immobilize Selenium	44
6	Public Health Concerns Associated with Drainage Water	
7	Planning Objectives Criteria and Standards	

## TABLES (continued)

3	Forecast of Irrigated Area With Water Table Less Than 5 Feet from Ground Surface	/0
)	Foregoets of Evtent of Drainage Problem Area	70
10	Patients of Appual Problem Water Volume	11
L1	Inserted Land Changes Under the Future-Without Alternative	81
12	Change in Irrigable Area and Water Requirement Under the Future-Without Alternative	03
13	Estimated Subsurface Drainage Volume Under the Future-Without Alternative	83
14	Production in Pote Soles Income and Employment from Present to	
	Future Without Conditions 1987–2040	03
15	Applicability of Drainage Management Options: Level "A" Performance Standards	93
16	Applicability of Drainage Management Options: Level "B" Performance Standards	05
17	Summary Evaluation of Options Considered for Drainage Management Alternatives	100
18	Major Features of Grasslands Subarea Planning Alternatives	112
19	Major Features of Westlands Subarea Planning Alternatives	115
20	Major Features of Tulare Subarea Planning Alternatives	117
21	Major Features of Kern Subarea Planning Alternatives	110
22	Major Features of Study Area Planning Alternatives	122
23	Performance Standards Used to Formulate Recommended Plan	122
24	Applicability of Drainage Management Options	120
25	Estimated Useful Life of the Semiconfined Aquifer	120
26	Recommended Targets for Reduction in Deep Percolation in 2000	121
27	Projected On-Farm Tile Drainage Acreage	137
28	Primary Drainage-Water Reduction Facilities	132
29	Recommended Drainage Management Plan, Grasslands Subarea	142
30	Comparison of Plan With Present and Future-Without Conditions, Grasslands Subarea	143
31	Annualized Costs of the Recommended Plan for the Grasslands Subarea	146
32	Recommended Drainage Management Plan, Westlands Subarea	147
33	Comparison of Plan With Present and Future-Without Conditions, Westlands Subarea	148
34	Annualized Costs of the Recommended Plan for the Westlands Subarea	151
35	Recommended Drainage Management Plan, Tulare Subarea	152
36	Comparison of Plan With Present and Future-Without Conditions, Tulare Subarea  Annualized Costs of the Recommended Plan for the Tulare Subarea	153
37	Annualized Costs of the Recommended Plan for the Italie Subarea	155
38	Comparison of Plan With Present and Future-Without Conditions, Kern Subarea	157
39	Comparison of Plan With Present and Future Without Conditions, Kern Subarea	157
40	Annualized Costs of the Recommended Plan for the Kern Subarea	158
41	and the second s	. 100
42	Wildlife Areas, and Alternative Habitat for Evaporation Ponds	. 159
43	Area of Evaporation and Solar Ponds and Wetlands in the Recommended Plan	. 159
44	1 Tiffe - 4 f 4h - Dacammandad	
45	Comparison of Selected Land Features and Effects of the Recommended	
·	Plan and Future-Without Conditions, 2040	. 160
46	Increase in Retail Sales, Income, and Employment from Future-Without  Conditions to the Recommended Plan for Selected Subareas, 2040	. 16
	AAMMANAM AA AMA BAARAMAN SA	

### **FIGURES**

1	Program Study Area	,
2	Major Federal and State Irrigation Facilities and Service Areas	100
3	Major Public Wildlife Areas in the San Joaquin Valley	15
4	Generalized Geohydrological Cross-Sections in the San Joaquin and Tulare Rasins	20
5	Selenium Concentrations in Soils	Z0
6	Areas of Shallow Ground Water, 1987	Zŏ
7	Salinity in Shallow Ground Water Sampled Between 1984 and 1989	31 22
8	Selenium Concentrations in Shallow Ground Water Sampled Between 1984 and 1989	32
9	Boron Concentrations in Shallow Ground Water Sampled Between 1984 and 1989	33
10	Molybdenum Concentrations in Shallow Ground Water Sampled	
	Between 1984 and 1989	35
11	Arsenic Concentrations in Shallow Ground Water Sampled Between 1984 and 1989	36
12	Aquiter Zones Above the Corcoran Clay With Less Than	
	1,250 ppm Total Dissolved Solids	. 37
13	San Joaquin Valley Total Crop Production Value	50
14	Agriculturally Induced Employment in the San Joaquin Valley by County, 1987	51
15	Share of California Commodity Exports, by Value, 1987	52
16	Irrigated Cropland in Cotton, Fruits, and Nuts, by Subarea – 1987	54
17	Percent of Farms by Tenure of Operator, Westside San Joaquin Valley, 1987	55
18	Shallow Ground-Water Quality Zones	70
19	The Concept of Drainage-Water Reuse	. 100
20	Pond Configurations	101
21	The Concept of Ground-Water Management	102
22	The Concept of Land Retirement	. 104
23	Areas of Highest Observed Selenium Concentrations in Shallow Ground Water	. 105
24	Problem Water Reduction, Grasslands Subarea	108
25	Problem Water Reduction, Westlands Subarea	111
26	Problem Water Reduction, Tulare Subarea	114
27	Problem Water Reduction, Kern Subarea	116
28	Overall Plan Formulation Sequence	. 124
29	Plan Formulation Sequence: Pump Semiconfined Aquifer	125
30	Plan Formulation Sequence: Evaporate Drainage	125
31	Northern Subarea	135
32	Grasslands Subarea, Ground-Water Quality Zones	137
33	Facilities and Flows Included in the Recommended Plan, Grasslands Subarea	140
4	Westlands Subarea, Ground-Water Quality Zones	145
5	Tulare Subarea, Ground-Water Quality Zones	150
6	Kern Subarea, Ground-Water Quality Zones	154

# SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY DRAINAGE PROGRAM COMMITTEE AND TEAM MEMBERSHIP

The San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program is a Federal/State interagency program that was established in August 1984 by then Secretary of the Interior William Clark and California Governor George Deukmejian.

### INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION TEAM

Peter Bontadelli, CA Department of Fish and Game Constance Harriman, US Department of the Interior David Kennedy, CA Department of Water Resources W. Don Maughan, CA State Water Resources Control Board Dallas Peck, US Geological Survey John Sayre, US Department of the Interior Jananne Sharpless, CA Environmental Affairs Agency

John Turner, US Fish and Wildlife Service Dennis Underwood, US Bureau of Reclamation

Gordon Van Vleck, CA Resources Agency

#### POLICY AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Peter Bontadelli, CA Department of Fish and Game H. K. (Pete) Chadwick (alternate) Lawrence Hancock, US Bureau of Reclamation Dan Fults (alternate) David Kennedy, CA Department of Water Resources Louis Beck (alternate)
John Klein, US Geological Survey
Robert Gillion (alternate)
Marvin Plenert, US Fish and Wildlife Service
Wayne White (alternate)

#### CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Donald Anthrop, San Jose State University
Jean Auer, Committee for Water Policy Consensus
Jerald Butchert, Westlands Water District
James Crenshaw, CA Sportfishing Protection Alliance
Michael DiBartolomeis, Toxicology Research International
Thomas Graff, Environmental Defense Fund
Stephen Hall, Land Preservation Association
Clifford Koster, Water Committee for San Joaquin Farm Bureau

Chester McCorkle, University of California,
Davis
Daniel Nelson, San Luis Water District
Polly Smith, CA League of Women Voters
Michael Stearns, Irrigation and Canal Districts
Coalition in Fresno and Merced Counties
Ronald Stork, Friends of the River, Sierra Club
Joseph Summers, Summers Engineering, Inc.

#### INTERAGENCY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mohammed Alemi, State Water Resources Control Board Suzanne Butterfield, CA Department of Water Resources Harley Davis, Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board Richard Haberman, CA Department of Health Services Henry Hansen, US Bureau of Reclamation Susan Klasing, consultant Karl Longley, California State University, Fresno Stephen Moore, US Fish and Wildlife Service Larry Puckett, CA Department of Fish and Game Maria Rea, US Environmental Protection Agency Walter Sykes, US Department of Agriculture Marc Sylvester, US Geological Survey Kenneth Tanji, University of California, Davis

#### ITAC Subcommittee on Agricultural Water Management

James Ayars, California State University, Fresno Ken Billings, Federal Land Bank Suzanne Butterfield, CA Department of Water Resources Charles Burt, Cal Poly San Luis Obispo Jerald Butchert, Westlands Water District William Camp, Firebaugh Canal Company Baryohay Davidoff, CA Department of Water Resources Dennis Falaschi, Panoche Water District Stephen Hall, Land Preservation Association Blaine Hansen, University of California, Davis Richard Howitt, University of California, Davis Elizabeth Hudson, Westlands Water District

Dale Melville, Provost and Pritchard and Dudley
Ridge Water District
Daniel Nelson, San Luis Water District
James Oster, University of California, Riverside
Claude Phene, US Department of Agriculture
Michael Porter, Central California Irrigation District
Nigel Quinn, San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program
Kenneth Solomon, CA State University, Fresno
Kenneth Tanji, University of California, Davis
Wesley Wallender, University of California, Davis
Dennis Westcot, CV Reg. Water Quality Control Bd.
Zachary Willey, Environmental Defense Fund

David Woolley, Murrieta Farms

## ITAC Subcommittee on Aquatic and Fisheries Biology

James Arthur, US Bureau of Reclamation Randall Brown, CA Department of Water Resources Francisco Demgen, Aquatic Habitat Institute Perry Herrgesell, CA Department of Fish and Game Martin Kjelson, US Fish and Wildlife Service

Peter Moyle, University of California, Davis Larry Puckett, CA Department of Fish and Game Maria Rea, US Environmental Protection Agency Bert Tribbey, California State University, Fresno Terry Young, Environmental Defense Fund

#### ITAC Subcommittee on Data Management

Sheryl Baughman, US Bureau of Reclamation Randall Brown, CA Department of Water Resources Bellory Fong, CA Department of Water Resources Steven Grattan, University of California, Davis Frederick Heimes, US Geological Survey

Perry Herrgesell, CA Department of Fish and Game

Charles Johnson, US Bureau of Reclamation Martin Kjelson, US Fish and Wildlife Service Larry Ludke, US Fish and Wildlife Service James Sutton, CA State Water Resources Control

Walter Swain, US Geological Survey

Gail Thelin, US Geological Survey

#### **ITAC Subcommittee on Ground Water**

James Ayars, USDA Agricultural Research Service Vashek Cervinka, US Department of Food and Agriculture Michael Day, J. M. Lord, Inc. Steven Deverel, US Geological Survey Neil Dubrovsky, US Geological Survey Terry Erlewine, CA Department of Water Resources Terence Garvey, Westlands Water District Mark Grismer, University of California, Davis Blaine Hansen, University of California, Davis Lance Johnson, Westlands Water District

William Lettis, consultant Karl Longley, California State University, Fresno William (BJ) Miller, consultant William Pipes, consultant Nigel Quinn, San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program Kenneth Schmidt, consultant Walter Swain, San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program Arvey Swanson, CA Department of Water Resources

Howard Weinberg, CA State Water Resources Control Board

#### ITAC Subcommittee on Public Health

Bruce Eldridge, University of California, Davis Anna Fan, CA Department of Health Services Richard Jacobs, US Food and Drug Administration Susan Klasing, consultant

Karl Longley, CA State University, Fresno

Michael MacLean, Tulare County Department of Health Services Raymond Neutra, CA Department of Health Services

Jane Valentine, University of California, Los Angeles Richard Welch, Merced County Health Department

### ITAC Subcommittee on Treatment and Disposal

Mohammed Alemi, CA State Water Resources Control Board Paulette Altringer, US Bureau of Mines Randall Brown, CA Department of Water Resources Roger Fujii, US Geological Survey Terence Garvey, Westlands Water District Richard Haberman, CA Department of Health Services

Richard Hansen, CA Department of Fish and Game Robin Hewitt, US Environmental Protection Agency George Nishimura, San Joaquin Valley Drain. Prog. James Rhoades, USDA-ARS Salinity Laboratory Theodore Roefs, US Bureau of Reclamation Brian Smith, CA Department of Water Resources

Felix Smith, US Fish and Wildlife Service

#### **ITAC Subcommittee on Valley Biology**

Dick Daniel, CA Department of Fish and Game John Fields, US Bureau of Reclamation Allan Knight, University of California, Davis

David Pelgen, CA Department of Water Resources Maria Rea, US Environmental Protection Agency Maurice Taylor, US Fish and Wildlife Service

# NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL - WATER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY BOARD COMMITTEE ON IRRIGATION-INDUCED WATER QUALITY PROBLEMS

Ernest Angino, University of Kansas, Lawrence
Margriet Caswell, University of California, Santa Barbara
Edwin Clark, II, Department of Natural Resources and
Environmental Control, State of Delaware
Charles DuMars, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque
Chris Elfring, NRC Staff Officer
Wilford Gardner, University of California, Berkeley
Rolf Hartung, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
Charles Howard, Charles Howard and Associates, Ltd.

L. Douglas James, Utah State University, Logan William Lewis, Jr., University of Colorado, Boulder Robert Meglen, University of Colorado, Denver Ishwar Murarka, Electric Power Research Institute Albert Page, University of California, Riverside Merilyn Reeves, League of Women Voters Daniel Willard, Indiana University, Bloomington Jan van Schilfgaarde, USDA Agricultural Research Service

#### **CIIWQP Subcommittee on Data Management**

Margriet Caswell, University of CA, Santa Barbara Edwin Clark, II, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, State of Delaware Wilford Gardner, University of California, Berkeley Robert Meglen, University of Colorado, Denver

#### CIIWQP Subcommittee on Economics, Policy, and Systems Analysis

Margriet Caswell, University of California, Santa Barbara Edwin Clark, II, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, State of Delaware Charles DuMars, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque Wilford Gardner, University of California, Berkeley Frank Gregg, University of Arizona, Tucson Charles Howard, Charles Howard & Associates, Ltd. Charles Howe, University of Colorado, Boulder Gerald Orlob, University of California, Davis Albert Page, University of California, Riverside Merilyn Reeves, League of Women Voters Warren Viessman, University of Florida, Gainesville

Evan Vlachos, Colorado State University, Fort Collins

#### **CIIWQP Subcommittee on Public Health**

Edwin Clark, II, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, State of Delaware Larry Gordon, New Mexico Health & Environmental Dept. Rolf Hartung, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Matthew Longnecker, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston

Betty Olson, University of California, Irvine

#### CIIWQP Subcommittee on Quality Assurance/Quality Control

Ernest Angino, University of Kansas, Lawrence J. Phyllis Fox, J. Phyllis Fox Consulting Services

Susan Jo Keith, City Managers Office, Phoenix Robert Meglen, University of Colorado, Denver

#### **CIIWQP Subcommittee on Treatment Technologies**

Georges Belfort, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy Isadore Nusbaum, Consulting Engineer Vernon Snoeyink, University of Illinois, Urbana

#### FEDERAL/STATE INTERAGENCY STUDY TEAM

Edgar Imhoff, Program Manager Carroll Hamon, Deputy Program Manager and CA Department of Water Resources Representative Stephen Moore, US Fish and Wildlife Service
Representative
Laws Bushett, CA Department of Fish and Ge

Larry Puckett, CA Department of Fish and Game Representative

Henry Hansen, US Bureau of Reclamation Representative Representative Walter Swain, US Geological Survey Representative

Karen Beardsley
Norman Coontz
Steven Detwiler
Ariel Dinar
Patrick Gaul

David Hansen
Leila Horibata
Robert Horton
Steven Kasower
Paul Lesneski

Virginia Linares Marla Macoubrie Marjory McKenzie George Nishimura Tammy Pellish Abraham Philip Donald Swain
Nigel Quinn Mark Weegar
Susan Sarantopoulos Kelly Williams
Craig Stroh Joy Winckel
David Sullivan Marvin Yates